

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/627,960	07	7/25/2003	Ary S. Chernomorsky	RUBI5873	2271	
22430	22430 7590 06/23/2006			EXAMINER		
YOUNG LAW FIRM, P.C.				DAWSON,	DAWSON, GLENN K	
ALAN W. YOUNG 4370 ALPINE ROAD				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 106				3731		
PORTOLA VALLEY, CA 94028				DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 03-21-2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4,12,13,15-19,21,22,26-30,40-42,50,51,53-57,59,60,64-66, 105-108,116,117,119-126 and 130-133 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Krajicek-5522840.

Krajicek discloses a swellable collagen plug made out of two facing layers. The two layers have different degrees of cross-linking by the tanning process which causes the two portions to swell differently upon receipt of body fluids. The middle of the plug is hollow and would serve as a reservoir which could receive a medicinal

Application/Control Number: 10/627,960

Art Unit: 3731

insert. See col. 3 lines 7-38.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 31,32,67,68,134 and 135 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krajicek-'840 in view of Li-6090996.

Krajicek discloses the invention as claimed with the exception of the type of cross-linking. Li discloses that it was known to cross-link collagen matrixes by formaldehyde, glutaraldehyde or a dehydrothermal process. See col. 1 lines 24-30; col. 2 lines 21-31; col. 3 lines 29-64; col. 4 lines 21-29 and 48-60; and col. 5 lines 19-24. It would not have involved an inventive step to have used the cross-linking methods of Li in making the implant of Krajicek, as these methods have been shown to be effective at forming a stable matrix.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,960

Art Unit: 3731

Claims 33,69 and 136 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krajicek-'840 in view of Rhee, et al. 5510418.

Krajicek discloses the invention as claimed with the exception of cross-linking the matrix by a combination of both cyanamide and a dehydrothermal process. As both of these methods were known, as taught by Rhee in col. 2 lines 38-45, to have used both of the cross-linking methods of Rhee in making the implant of Krajicek would not have involved an inventive step as these methods have been shown to be individually effective at forming a stable matrix, and using both would have produced a stable matrix as well.

Claims 5,10,23,43,46-49,61,109,112-114 and 127 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krajicek-'840 in view of Fulton, et al.-6270464.

Krajicek discloses the implant as claimed with the exception of the additives in the matrix. Fulton discloses the use of the claimed additives. See col. 2 lines 28-55 and col. 3 lines 50-60. It would not have involved an inventive step to have added the contrast agents and the bioactive agents, as these would allow for non-invasive detecting of the position of implantation of the plug and would allow for the clotting of blood and the prevention of infection.

Claims 5-7,10,14,23,24,43,44,45,48,49,52,61,62,109-115,118,127 and 128 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krajicek-'840 in view of Sing, et al.-6183497.

Krajicek discloses the invention as claimed with the exception of the additives.

Sing discloses the use of radiopaque materials, radioactive materials and thrombin.

See col. 2 lines 52-59; col. 3 lines 11-20 and 45-60; and col. 8 lines 24-38. It would not have involved an inventive step to have added the additives of Sing to the plug of Krajicek, as these materials allow for blood clotting, treatment of cancerous cells and non-invasive imaging of the location of the plug in the patient's body.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 34-39,74-104 and 137-165 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 03-21-2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The examiner has reconsidered his position with regards to the implant of Krajicek having an internal reservoir capable of containing a dye, pigment or agent. As these agents could be in a solid or gel-like consistency, the interior bore or hollow of Krajicek could easily hold said material and therefore reads on this claim limitation.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn K. Dawson whose telephone number is 571-272-4694. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,960 Page 6

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Glenn K Dawson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3731

Gkd 16 June 2006